


AUG 29 2007

S&amp;H Form: (02/05)

<b>REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL</b>		Attorney Docket No.	1081.1202		
		Application Number	10/830,150		
		Filing Date	April 23, 2004		
		First Named Inventor	Shuichi IZAWA, et al.		
		Group Art Unit	2876		
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	0.00	Examiner Name	David Martinez		
<b>FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 12/08/04)</b>					
CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	21	- 21 =	0	X \$ 50.00 =	\$ 0.00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4	- 4 =	0	X \$ 200.00 =	0.00
Since an Official Action set an <u>original</u> due date of <u>August 29, 2007</u> , petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$120)); (2 months (\$450)); (3 months (\$1,020)); (4 months (\$1,590)); (5 months (\$2,160)):					
If Notice of Appeal is enclosed, add (\$500.00)					
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$130.00)					
Information Disclosure Statement (Rule 1.17(p)) (\$180.00)					
Total of above Calculations =					\$ 0.00
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)					
TOTAL FEES DUE =					\$ 0.00
(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0". (2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20". (4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0". (5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".					
<b>CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION</b> I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1480, Alexandria, VA 22313-1480 By: <u>STAAS &amp; HALSEY</u> Date: <u>8/29/07</u>					
METHOD OF PAYMENT					
<input type="checkbox"/> Check enclosed as payment. <input type="checkbox"/> Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No. below. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No payment is enclosed.					
<b>GENERAL AUTHORIZATION</b>					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees necessary to: Deposit Account No. <u>19-3935</u> Deposit Account Name <u>STAAS &amp; HALSEY LLP</u>					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is also authorized to credit any overpayments or charge any additional fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 (filing fees) or 37 CFR 1.17 (processing fees) during the prosecution of this application, including any related application(s) claiming benefit hereof pursuant to 35 USC § 120 (e.g., continuations/divisionals/CIPs under 37 CFR 1.53(b) and/or continuations/divisionals/CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) to maintain pendency hereof or of any such related application.					
SUBMITTED BY: STAAS & HALSEY LLP					
Typed Name	H. J. Staas			Reg. No.	22,010
Signature				Date	<u>Aug 29, 2007</u>

©2005 Staas &amp; Halsey LLP

AUG. 29. 2007 9:42PM

STAAS & HALSEY 202-434-1501

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER** NO. 6703 P. 2

**AUG 29 2007**

**RESPONSE AFTER FINAL OFFICE ACTION**  
**EXPEDITED PROCEDURE**  
**EXAMINING GROUP 2876**  
Docket No.: 1081.1202

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of:

Shuichi IZAWA, et al.

Serial No. 10/830,150

Group Art Unit: 2876

Confirmation No. 7843

Filed: April 23, 2004

Examiner: David Martinez

For: AUTOMATIC TRANSACTION APPARATUS, AUTOMATIC TRANSACTION CONTROL  
METHOD, AND CONTROL PROGRAM THEREOF

**AMENDMENT/RESPONSE AFTER FINAL**

**Mail Stop AF**  
Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed May 29, 2007, and having a period for response set to expire on August 29, 2007.

The following amendments and remarks are respectfully submitted. Entry of this reply and reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested, because the remarks clarify the patentably distinguishing features recited by the language of the claims, because the remarks apply the discussions of the relied upon references specifically to the language of the claims, and because in view of the remarks it is believed the claims are allowable over the relied upon references without further search.